

TYPICAL BLOCKS OF LIE SUPERALGEBRAS IN PRIME CHARACTERISTIC

LEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. For a type I basic classical Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$, we establish an equivalence between typical blocks of categories of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules and $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -modules. We then deduce various consequences from the equivalence.

1. INTRODUCTION

The representation theory of Lie superalgebras over complex numbers has been studied quite extensively in literature, starting from the well-known character formula, due to Kac, for irreducible highest weight representations with typical highest weights [Kac2]. In [WZ1], the author and Wang initiated the study of modular representations of Lie superalgebras over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic $p > 2$, by formulating a superalgebra analogue of the Kac-Weisfeiler conjecture and establishing it for the basic classical Lie superalgebras.

This paper is an attempt to study more closely and precisely the structure of modular representations of Lie superalgebras; and it deals with, as a natural starting point, the typical ones. In particular, for a basic classical Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ of type I (see [Kac1] for a definition), we establish an equivalence between the so-called typical blocks of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules over the field K and the corresponding ones for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules. Let us explain in more details.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a type I basic classical Lie superalgebra, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is one of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2n)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a restricted Lie superalgebra, and one can make sense the notions of p -characters $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ and the corresponding reduced enveloping superalgebras $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ be a triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. We may assume that a p -character χ satisfies $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0$ via the conjugation of the adjoint group G_0 of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ if necessary.

One of the distinguished features of $\mathfrak{g}$ being type I is that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a natural $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

with $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. Put $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. Let M^0 be a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module. View it as a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_+)$ -module with trivial $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -action. Then the induction $\psi(M^0) = U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_+)} M^0$ will give us a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. The first main result (Proposition 3.5) of the paper is an irreducibility criterion for $\psi(L^0)$ for an irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module L^0 , which states that the induced module $\psi(L^0)$ is simple if and only the subspace $(L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+}$ consists only weights which are typical (first defined in [Kac3]). It generalizes a classical result of Kac *loc. cit.*

Let $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{ty}$ (resp. $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{ty}$) be the full subcategory of (finite-dimensional) $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -modules (resp. $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$) whose composition factors are typical (see Section 3 for the precise definition). The following is the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem (Theorem 3.12). *The functor of taking $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -invariants,*

$$\phi = -^{\mathfrak{g}_1} : U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{ty} \rightarrow U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{ty}$$

and the functor

$$\psi = U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_+)} - : U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{ty} \rightarrow U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{ty}$$

are inverse equivalence of categories.

The theorem allows us to “transfer” various results on the representation theory of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ to that of $\mathfrak{g}$. First, we show for a semisimple p -character χ a simplicity criterion of baby Verma modules, and, as a consequence, a semisimplicity criterion for $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$; this generalizes a result of Rudakov [Rud]. Secondly, for nilpotent p -characters, we relate the representations of regular typical blocks of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ to the Springer fiber of the flag manifold of G_0 , via a recent proof of a conjecture of Lusztig by Bezrukavnikov, Mirković and Rumynin [BMR]. Thirdly, for $\chi = 0$, we deduce from Cline, Parshall and Scott [CPS] a character formula for irreducible modules whose weights are typical and p -regular in terms of baby Verma modules, assuming the original Lusztig conjecture [Lus].

Connections to [BMR, CPS] indicate that the modular representation theory of Lie superalgebras in typical blocks is already very rich; the “non-typical” representations are expected to exhibit new purely super phenomena.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts on the modular representations of Lie superalgebras. Section 3 is devoted to establishing the equivalence of typical blocks. Finally in Section 4, we deduce various consequences from the equivalence.

Acknowledgments. The author is very grateful to his advisor, Weiqiang Wang, for suggesting the problem as well as offering valuable advice throughout the years.

Added note. After the paper is submitted, the author came across Chaowen Zhang’s paper [Zh] on arXiv, in which he (independently) obtained the simplicity criterion for baby Verma modules with semisimple p -characters for basic classical Lie superalgebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Throughout we work with an algebraically closed field K with characteristic $p > 2$ as the ground field. Unless otherwise specified, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ will be denoted one of the Type I basic classical Lie superalgebras, i.e., $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2n)$ over K . Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ over K .

For a finite-dimensional associative superalgebra A , let $A\text{-mod}$ denote the category of finite-dimensional A -modules. A superalgebra analogue of Schur’s Lemma states that the endomorphism ring of an irreducible module in $A\text{-mod}$ is either

one-dimensional or two-dimensional (in the latter case it is isomorphic to a Clifford algebra). An irreducible module is said to be *of type M* if its endomorphism ring is one-dimensional and it is said to be *of type Q* otherwise.

By vector spaces, derivations, subalgebras, ideals, modules, and submodules etc. we mean in the super sense unless otherwise specified.

2.2. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (and of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$). Denote by Δ the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ and by $\Delta_{\bar{0}}$ (resp. $\Delta_{\bar{1}}$) the set of even (resp. odd) roots.

Fix a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{\bar{0}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$ and let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_{\bar{1}}$ be a distinguished Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in the sense of [Kac3, Section 1.4]. We have a triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}^+$ (resp. $\mathfrak{n}^-$) is the nilradical (resp. opposite nilradical) of $\mathfrak{b}$. Denote the set of positive even (resp. odd) roots by Δ_0^+ (resp. Δ_1^+) corresponding to the triangular decomposition, and let Π be the set of simple roots. One can easily extend a Chevalley basis of $[\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}]$ to an integral basis $\{X_\alpha, h_i \mid \alpha \in \Delta, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Denote by $(\cdot | \cdot)$ a W -invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. The dot action of W on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is defined by

$$w.\lambda := w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho,$$

for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, where $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_1^+} \beta)$. Note that for $w \in W$, $w(\rho_1) = \rho_1$ and so

$$w.\lambda = w(\lambda + \rho_0) - \rho_0,$$

where $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} \alpha$.

Being type I, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a natural $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading compatible with the Lie superalgebra structure as follows:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_1$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$) is spanned by the root vectors X_α with $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$ (resp. $-\Delta_1^+$). Finally, put

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

2.3. Our Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is restricted in the sense that its even subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is a restricted Lie algebra with p th power map $(-)^{[p]} : \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$; and the odd part $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is a restricted module by the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. We refer the reader to [WZ1] for the basic results of representation theory of restricted Lie superalgebras.

For each $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$, the *reduced enveloping superalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the p -character χ is by definition the quotient of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal I_χ generated by all $x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. It has a basis consisting of products

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\bar{0}}} X_\alpha^{a_\alpha} \cdot \prod_i h_i^{b_i} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_{\bar{1}}} X_\beta^{c_\beta}, \quad (2.1)$$

with $0 \leq a_\alpha, b_i < p$ and $c_\beta = 0, 1$. Each $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ has dimension equal to $p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}} 2^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}}$.

Let $G_{\bar{0}}$ be the adjoint algebraic group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. As usual, we may assume the p -character χ satisfying $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0$ by replacing it by a suitable $G_{\bar{0}}$ -conjugate if necessary. A p -character $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ is *semisimple* if it is $G_{\bar{0}}$ -conjugate to some $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ with $\xi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = \xi(\mathfrak{n}_0^-) = 0$, and χ is *nilpotent* if it is $G_{\bar{0}}$ -conjugate to some $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ with $\eta(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = \eta(\mathfrak{h}) = 0$.

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ be such that $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0$. Then all irreducible $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules are one dimensional of the form K_{λ} , where $\mathfrak{n}^+$ acts trivially and $\mathfrak{h}$ acts via multiplication by λ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\chi}$, and

$$\Lambda_{\chi} = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \mu(h)^p - \mu(h) = \chi(h)^p \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Inducing the one-dimensional $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules K_{λ} to $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$, we get the baby Verma modules

$$Z_{\chi}(\lambda) = U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{b})} K_{\lambda}.$$

Given any $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$ -module M^0 , we view it as a $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_+)$ -module by letting $\mathfrak{g}_1$ act on it trivially. Inducing to $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$, we get a $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$\psi(M^0) = U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_+)} M^0.$$

In particular, when $Z_{\chi}^0(\lambda) := U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}) \otimes_{U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{b}_{\bar{0}})} K_{\lambda}$ is the baby Verma module of $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_{\bar{0}}$ with weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\chi}$, we have

$$Z_{\chi}(\lambda) \cong \psi(Z_{\chi}^0(\lambda)) = U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_+)} Z_{\chi}^0(\lambda). \quad (2.2)$$

A weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\chi}$ is called *typical* (cf. [Kac3]) if $(\lambda + \rho|\beta) \neq 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_1^+$; otherwise λ is *atypical*.

Let $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\chi}$. Then, by the W -invariance of the bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$, we have

$$(w.\lambda + \rho|\beta) = (w(\lambda + \rho)|\beta) = (\lambda + \rho|w^{-1}\beta), \quad \text{for } \beta \in \Delta_1^+.$$

Since Δ_1^+ is W -invariant, we deduce that $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\chi}$ is typical if and only if $w.\lambda$ is typical for any $w \in W$.

3. AN EQUIVALENCE OF CATEGORIES

3.1. Set

$$T_{\pm} = \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_1^{\pm}} X_{\beta},$$

where the product is taken in any arbitrarily fixed order.

Let $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of the universal enveloping algebra $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the complex counterpart of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by elements of the form (2.1) with $a_{\alpha}, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $c_{\beta} = 0, 1$. Then we have

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, etc. the counterparts of $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{n}$, etc. over the integers. By abuse of notation, we use h_i , X_{α} with $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$ for the integral bases for all three algebras $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $U_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$T_+T_- - P_1 \in U_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Z}}^+,$$

for a unique polynomial P_1 in variables $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^r$.

Proof. The universal enveloping algebra $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ has a PBW basis which is compatible with the product decomposition

$$U_{\mathbb{Z}} = U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Z}}^-)U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}})U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Z}}^+),$$

where $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\pm})$ are the $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras of $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ spanned by products $\prod h_i^{b_i}$ with $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\prod_{\alpha \in \pm \Delta_0^+} X_{\alpha}^{a_{\alpha}} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in \pm \Delta_1^+} X_{\beta}^{c_{\beta}}$ with $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $c_{\beta} = 0, 1$ respectively.

There is a natural grading on $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by declaring $\deg X_{\alpha} = \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\deg h_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then the lemma follows from writing the degree 0 vector T_+T_- in terms of the above PBW basis. $\square$

In [Kac3, Proposition 2.9], Kac computed the polynomial P_1 for $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ over the complex numbers. By Lemma 3.1, we conclude that Kac's formula

$$P_1(\lambda) = \pm \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_1^+} (\lambda + \rho | \beta), \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \quad (3.1)$$

remains valid in our setting (of characteristic p).

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be such that $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0$. Then for any simple $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module L^0 , we have $(L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+} \neq 0$. It may turn out that $(L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+}$ is not one-dimensional, say we have $0 \neq v, u \in (L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+}$ with $h.v = \lambda(h)v$ and $h.u = \mu(h)u$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and some $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{\chi}$. Then L^0 is a quotient of both $Z_{\chi}^0(\lambda)$ and $Z_{\chi}^0(\mu)$. It follows that $U(\mathfrak{g})^{G_0}$ acts on the two baby Verma modules via the same central character. It is known (cf. [Jan1, Corollary 9.4]) that $\lambda \in W.\mu$. Hence the weights in $(L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+}$ are either all typical or are all atypical. A simple $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module L^0 is called *typical* if any of weights in $(L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+}$ is typical; otherwise, L^0 is called *atypical*.

Now let L^0 be a simple $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module, and let v be a nonzero vector in $(L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+}$ with $h.v = \lambda(h)v$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and some $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\chi}$. By Lemma 3.1, T_+T_- acts on $v = 1 \otimes v \in \psi(L^0)$ by multiplication by $P_1(\lambda)$. Then by (3.1), we have L^0 is typical if and only if $P_1(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *The vector spaces KT_+ , KT_- , and KT_+T_- are one-dimensional representations of $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ under the adjoint action. Among them, KT_+T_- is the trivial one-dimensional representation.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}$ and $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1] \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$, and the fact that $T_{\pm}$ and T_+T_- lie in the top wedges of $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}$ and $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$ respectively, where $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$, etc. is the exterior algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_1$, etc..

To show that KT_+T_- is a trivial $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module, note first that elements in the semisimple part $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ commute with T_+T_- . Elements in the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ also commute with T_+T_- since T_+T_- has weight zero. Then any element in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ commutes with T_+T_- . The second assertion is proved. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let L^0 be an irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module. Then any nonzero (graded or non-graded) $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule S of $\psi(L^0)$ contains T_-L^0 .*

Proof. Note that, as vector spaces, $\psi(L^0) \cong U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) \otimes L^0$. Starting from any vector $u \in S$, we can then apply a suitable vector in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ to get a vector of the form T_-v for some $0 \neq v \in L^0$. The lemma then follows from Lemma 3.2, since $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)T_-v = T_-U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)v = T_-L^0$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let L^0 be a simple $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module. If the induced module $\psi(L^0)$ is irreducible then it is of type M .*

Proof. Follows immediately from Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Let L^0 be an irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module. Then the induced $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\psi(L^0)$ is irreducible if and only if L^0 is typical.*

Proof. Choose a nonzero weight vector $v \in (L^0)^{\mathfrak{n}_0^+}$ with $h.v = \lambda(h)v$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and some $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$. We claim that:

Claim: $\psi(L^0)$ is irreducible if and only if $v \in T_+T_-L^0$.

According to Lemma 3.3, it is easy to see that the $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\psi(L^0)$ is irreducible if and only if $v \in U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})T_-L^0$.

Now any element $u \in U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ can be written as $u = u_1 \cdot u_0 \cdot u_{-1}$ with $u_{\pm 1} \in U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1})$ and $u_0 \in U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. Since $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ kills T_-L^0 and $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ leaves T_-L^0 stable (Lemma 3.2), one sees that

$$U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})T_-L^0 = U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)T_-L^0.$$

Thus the $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\psi(L^0)$ is irreducible if and only if $v \in U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)T_-L^0$.

Since $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is a direct sum of KT_+ and the subspace spanned by products of positive odd root vectors of length shorter than the monomial T_+ , we observe further that

$$U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)T_-L^0 \cap (1 \otimes L^0) = T_+T_-L^0 \cap (1 \otimes L^0).$$

Thus $v \in U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)T_-L^0$ if and only if $v \in T_+T_-L^0$. The Claim is proved.

Now if L^0 is typical, then $T_+T_-v = P_1(\lambda)v \neq 0$. Thus $v \in T_+T_-L^0$ and hence $\psi(L^0)$ is irreducible by the Claim.

Conversely, if L^0 is atypical then $T_+T_-v = P_1(\lambda)v = 0$. Consider the subspace $T_+T_-L^0 \subset \psi(L^0)$. By Lemma 3.2, $T_+T_-L^0$ is a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -submodule of $\psi(L^0)$. View $L^0 = 1 \otimes L^0$ also as a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -submodule of $\psi(L^0)$. Then multiplying elements of L^0 by T_+T_- will give us a surjective map

$$m : L^0 \rightarrow T_+T_-L^0.$$

The map m is actually a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module homomorphism since $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ commutes with T_+T_- (Lemma 3.2). It has a nontrivial kernel containing v . Since L^0 is a simple $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module, $T_+T_-L^0$ has to be zero. By using the above Claim again, we conclude that $\psi(L^0)$ is reducible. $\square$

3.2. The following proposition is an analogue of [Kac1, Proposition 5.2.5(a)] in characteristic p .

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be an arbitrary p -character. Let M be a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Set $M^{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \{m \in M \mid \mathfrak{g}_1.m = 0\}$. If M is irreducible, then $M^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ is irreducible as a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module. In particular, if L^0 is a typical $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module, then*

$$L^0 \cong \psi(L^0)^{\mathfrak{g}_1}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [Kac1, Proposition 5.2.5(a)], and will be omitted here. $\square$

We call an irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module L *typical* (resp. *atypical*) if $L^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ is typical (resp. atypical). This is equivalent to saying that all weights in $L^{\mathfrak{n}^+}$ are typical (resp. atypical).

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be such that $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0$. Let L be a typical irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Then L is $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ -free.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, the natural map $\psi(L^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism. Then L is $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ -free. Interchanging the roles of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, it follows that L is a free $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ -module. $\square$

3.3. For $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules M and N , we write $\text{Ext}(M, N)$ for the (degree 1) extensions between N and M in the category $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$.

Here it is also worth noting that, since $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is abelian, $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let L be a typical irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Then $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_1, L) = 0$.*

Proof. Thanks to Corollary 3.7, we only need to prove that

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}_1, \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1) = 0,$$

which is a consequence of the following fact in linear algebra. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space, and let $f : V \rightarrow \bigwedge V$ be a linear map satisfying $x \wedge f(x) = 0$ for any $x \in V$. Then $f(x) = x \wedge u$ for some $u \in \bigwedge V$ and all $x \in V$.*

For a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module N , N^* denotes the dual $U_{-\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Lemma 3.10. *Let L be a typical irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Then L^* is a typical irreducible $U_{-\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.*

Proof. First note by Proposition 3.5 that $L \cong \psi(L^{\mathfrak{g}_1})$. In particular, we have

$$\dim L^* = \dim L = \dim U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) \cdot \dim L^{\mathfrak{g}_1}.$$

Next, by Corollary 3.7, L is a free $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$ -module. It follows from $\dim(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1)^{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \dim((\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1)^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ that

$$\dim L^{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \dim(L^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_1},$$

and so

$$\dim L^* = \dim U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) \cdot \dim(L^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_1}.$$

Now, by dimension consideration, the natural surjective $U_{-\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module homomorphism

$$\psi((L^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) \rightarrow L^*$$

has to be an isomorphism. This can happen if and only if $(L^*)^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ is typical (Proposition 3.5). Hence L^* is typical. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. *We have $\text{Ext}(L, L') = 0 = \text{Ext}(L', L)$, for a typical simple $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module L and an atypical simple $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module L' .*

Proof. We prove first that $\text{Ext}(L', L) = 0$. Let

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow L' \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.2)$$

be an extension of L' by L in $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -mod.

By Lemma 3.8, $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_1, L) = 0$, and so the long exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -cohomology associated to the short exact sequence (3.2) gives rise to a short exact sequence of $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow L^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \rightarrow M^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \rightarrow (L')^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Note $L^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ and $(L')^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ are irreducible $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -modules by Proposition 3.6. The sequence (3.3) splits by the linkage principle of $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ (see, for example, [Jan2, Propositions C.4 and C.5]) since typical and atypical weights are not linked by W .

View the $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -modules as $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_+)$ -modules with trivial $\mathfrak{g}_1$ -action and induce to get a split exact sequence (noting that the induction functor is exact) in $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -mod

$$0 \rightarrow \psi(L^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) \rightarrow \psi(M^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) \rightarrow \psi((L')^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

The Frobenius reciprocity allows us to complete (3.2) and (3.4) into a commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \psi(L^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) & \longrightarrow & \psi(M^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) & \longrightarrow & \psi((L')^{\mathfrak{g}_1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & L' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.5, the first vertical arrow is actually an isomorphism. Now it follows from the splitting of the top row that the bottom row also splits.

To prove $\text{Ext}_{U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})}(L, L') = 0$, we simply note that

$$\text{Ext}_{U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})}(L, L') = \text{Ext}_{U_{-\chi}(\mathfrak{g})}((L')^*, L^*).$$

Then the identity follows from Lemma 3.10 and the first part of the proof. $\square$

It follows from induction on the length of composition series that the $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules (resp. $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$) whose composition factors are all typical form a full subcategory of $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ -mod (resp. $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -mod), which we denote by $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{\text{ty}}$ (resp. $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{\text{ty}}$).

Now we are at a position to state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.12. *The functors*

$$\phi = -^{\mathfrak{g}_1} : U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{\text{ty}} \rightarrow U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{\text{ty}}$$

and

$$\psi = U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_+)} - : U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{ty} \rightarrow U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{ty}$$

are inverse equivalence of categories.

Proof. By Propositions 3.5 and 3.6, the adjunction morphisms $\text{id}_{U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{ty}} \rightarrow \phi \circ \psi$ and $\psi \circ \phi \rightarrow \text{id}_{U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{ty}}$ are isomorphisms on irreducible objects. Since $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_+)$ -free, the functor ψ is exact. It follows from Corollary 3.7 that every module in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{ty}$ (being finite-dimensional) is free over $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1)$; thus ϕ is also exact. To establish that the adjunction morphisms are isomorphisms for general objects M^0 in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{ty}$ and N in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{ty}$, it remains to argue inductively on the length of composition series for M^0 and N . $\square$

4. APPLICATIONS OF THE EQUIVALENCE

In this section, we deduce various consequences of Theorem 3.12.

4.1. Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be a semisimple p -character with $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0 = \chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^-)$. The even subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra of a reductive group. It is shown by Rudakov ([Rud, Theorem 3]) that a baby Verma module $Z_\chi^0(\lambda)$ for $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$ is irreducible if and only if

$$(\lambda + \rho_0 | \alpha) \notin \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0^+, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathbb{F}_p$ denotes the finite field of p elements. The original assumption on $\mathfrak{g}$ is somewhat restrictive in [Rud]. This criterion was later improved by Friedlander-Parshall ([FP, Theorem 3.5]). It holds as long as the p is good for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ (i.e. all p for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ or $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$, and $p > 2$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(2|2n)$). Note also that Rudakov actually proved this criterion in the case of semisimple Lie algebras, but it is easy to deduce from there the case when the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is reductive.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$, put

$$P_0(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} ((\lambda + \rho_0 | \alpha)^{p-1} - 1) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} ((\lambda + \rho | \alpha)^{p-1} - 1),$$

then a baby Verma module $Z_\chi^0(\lambda)$ is irreducible if and only if $P_0(\lambda) \neq 0$. Further put for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$

$$P(\lambda) = P_0(\lambda) \cdot P_1(\lambda).$$

.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a basic classical Lie superalgebra of Type I, and let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be semisimple with $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0 = \chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^-)$. Then for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$, the baby Verma module $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ is irreducible if and only if $P(\lambda) \neq 0$. Moreover, if $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ is irreducible, it is of type M.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from (4.1), Proposition 3.5, and the isomorphism (2.2). The second assertion follows from (2.2) and Corollary 3.4. $\square$

For $\alpha \in \Delta$, denote by $H_\alpha = [X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] \in \mathfrak{h}$ the coroot of α . The following lemma is standard.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be semisimple with $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0 = \chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^-)$. Then χ is regular semisimple (i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_\chi := \{y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \chi([y, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0\}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$) if and only if $\chi(H_\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$.*

We now obtain a semisimplicity criterion for $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a basic classical Lie superalgebra of Type I, and let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be semisimple with $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0 = \chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^-)$. Then $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple if and only if χ is regular semisimple.*

Proof. Since χ satisfies $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^+) = 0 = \chi(\mathfrak{n}_0^-)$, each baby Verma module $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$ has a unique irreducible quotient, and they form a complete and irredundant set of irreducible $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Now by Wedderburn Theorem and a dimension counting argument, $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple if and only if all the baby Verma modules $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$ are simple (of type M). By Theorem 4.1, $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ being simple for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$ is equivalent to $P(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$, and by the definition of P , this is equivalent to (i) $(\lambda + \rho)(H_\alpha) \notin \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0^+$ and (ii) $(\lambda + \rho)(H_\beta) \neq 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_1^+$.

If χ is regular semisimple, then by Lemma 4.2, $\chi(H_\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$. It follows that for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$, $\lambda(H_\alpha) \notin \mathbb{F}_p$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ since $\lambda(H_\alpha)^p - \lambda(H_\alpha) = \chi(H_\alpha)^p$. In this situation, both (i) and (ii) are true since $\rho(H_\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Hence all $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ are simple and $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple.

Conversely, if χ is not regular semisimple, then $\chi(H_\alpha) = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ by Lemma 4.2. Let us assume $\alpha \in \Delta_0^+$, since the other case can be argued in a similar fashion. Then $\lambda(H_\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$. Since shifting the value of $\lambda(H_\alpha)$ by a number in $\mathbb{F}_p$ will still result in an element in Λ_χ , we may thus assume $(\lambda + \rho)(H_\alpha) = 1$. Then $P(\lambda) = 0$ and $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ is reducible by Theorem 4.1. Hence $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is not semisimple. $\square$

Remark 4.4. Note that the “if” part of the theorem is a consequence (cf. [WZ1, Corollary 5.7]) of the Super Kac-Weisfeiler Property ([WZ1, Theorem 5.6]).

Remark 4.5. The simplicity criterion (Theorem 4.1) for baby Verma modules and the semisimplicity criterion (Theorem 4.3) for reduced enveloping algebras with semisimple p -characters are valid for queer Lie superalgebras ([WZ2, Theorems 3.4 & 3.10]).

For all basic classical Lie superalgebras, these corresponding criteria can also be established, using the technique of odd reflections and generalized arguments of Rudakov [Rud].

4.2.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a basic classical Lie superalgebra of Type I; and for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ we further assume that $p \nmid (m - n)$. Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ with $\chi(\mathfrak{b}_0) = 0$ be regular nilpotent. Then the baby Verma module $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ is simple provided λ is typical.*

Proof. Since χ is regular nilpotent for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ the baby Verma module $Z_\chi^0(\lambda)$ for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is simple by Premet’s theorem ([Pr, Corollary 3.11]). Hence $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ is simple by (2.2) and Proposition 3.5. $\square$

4.3. Assume $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ is nilpotent with $\chi(\mathfrak{b}_0) = 0$. Recall that $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ is both typical and regular if and only if $(\lambda + \rho)(H_\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$. The central character cen_λ on $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)^{G_0}$ will determine a block in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)\text{-mod}^{\text{ty}}$ and hence a block in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{\text{ty}}$ via the equivalence in Theorem 3.12. Such a block is called typical and regular.

Remark 4.7. We note that if $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ is both typical and regular then the characteristic p of the base field K is necessarily greater than $h(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, the Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, which is $\max(m, n)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ or $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ and is $2n$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(2|2n)$.

Let B_0 be the Borel subgroup of G_0 with $\text{Lie } B_0 = \mathfrak{b}_0$, and let $\mathcal{B}^0$ be the flag manifold of G_0 . Denote the Springer fiber of $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ in $\mathcal{B}^0$ by $\mathcal{B}_\chi^0$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ be nilpotent with $\chi(\mathfrak{b}_0) = 0$. Then the number of simple modules in any typical and regular block in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}^{\text{ty}}$ is equal to the rank of the Grothendieck group of the category of coherent sheaves on the Springer fiber $\mathcal{B}_\chi^0$. This rank is also equal to the dimension of the l -adic cohomology of $\mathcal{B}_\chi^0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.12, the theorem is equivalent to the corresponding statement for $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, which was conjectured by Lusztig and established in [BMR, Corollary 5.4.3 and Theorem 7.1.1]. $\square$

4.4. Assume now $\chi = 0$. Let T be the maximal torus of G_0 with $\text{Lie } T = \mathfrak{h}$, and let X be the character group of T , with standard bilinear form $(,)$. The typicality of a weight can also be extended to X in an obvious way. The enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$) is in a natural way X -graded such that each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ has degree 0 and each X_α has degree α for $\alpha \in \Delta$ (resp. Δ_0). This grading induces an X -grading on $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$).

For any $\lambda \in X$, define the graded baby Verma module $\hat{Z}(\lambda)$ (resp. $\hat{Z}^0(\lambda)$) for $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$) in the same fashion as in Section 2.3, whose grading is given by declaring that each basis element $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} X_{-\alpha}^{a_\alpha} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_1^+} X_{-\beta}^{c_\beta} \otimes 1_\lambda$ (resp. $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} X_{-\alpha}^{a_\alpha} \otimes 1_\lambda$) has degree $\lambda - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} a_\alpha \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_1^+} c_\beta \beta$ (resp. $\lambda - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} a_\alpha \alpha$). For each $\hat{Z}(\lambda)$ (resp. $\hat{Z}^0(\lambda)$), the unique irreducible quotient $\hat{L}(\lambda)$ (resp. $\hat{L}^0(\lambda)$) is thus X -graded. For $\lambda \in X$, denote

$$\hat{V}(\lambda) := \hat{Z}(\lambda - 2(p-1)\rho_0), \quad (\text{resp. } \hat{V}^0(\lambda) = \hat{Z}^0(\lambda - 2(p-1)\rho_0)).$$

Note that

$$\hat{Q}(\lambda) = U_0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_0(\mathfrak{g}_+)} \hat{Q}^0(\lambda),$$

for $Q = Z, L$ and V .

Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0$) the category all X -graded $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ - (resp. $U_0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -)modules M satisfying the condition that $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on the γ -degree space M_γ via the tangent map $d(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in X$. Thus $\mathcal{C}^0$ is identified with the category of $(G_0)_1 T$ -modules.

Let W_p denote the affine Weyl group generated by the finite Weyl group W and the translations $s_{\alpha, np}$ with $\alpha \in \Delta_0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote the dot action of W_p on X by $w.\lambda$ for $w \in W_p$ and $\lambda \in X$. Let $\uparrow$ be the partial ordering on X determined by reflections in the affine Weyl group W_p (cf. [Jan2, Section D.7]).

For ω and τ in $W_p \cdot \lambda$, let $\hat{P}_{\tau, \omega}$ be the polynomial defined in [CPS, Section 5] which is in turn a normalization of the generic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial of Kato [Kat]. Let l be the length function on $W_p \cdot \lambda$ defined in [CPS, Section 3.12.3].

Theorem 4.9. *Assume $p > h(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$, and assume that $\mathcal{C}^0$ has a Kazhdan-Lusztig theory (in the sense of [CPS, Definition 2.1]). Let $\lambda \in X$ be typical and satisfy $0 \leq (\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee) \leq p$. Then for any $\omega \in W_p \cdot \lambda$, we have*

$$ch \hat{L}(\omega) = \sum_{\tau \uparrow \omega} (-1)^{l(\tau) - l(\omega)} \hat{P}_{\tau, \omega}(-1) ch \hat{V}(\tau).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.12, the statement of the theorem is equivalent to the corresponding one for $U_0(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$, which is established in [CPS, Theorem 5.7]. $\square$

Remark 4.10. We note that [CPS, Theorem 5.7] together with its partial converse [CPS, Theorem 5.9] is essentially equivalent to the Lusztig's conjecture [Lus, Section 3, Problem IV] under suitable assumption on p (cf. [CPS, Theorem 5.5]).

REFERENCES

- [BMR] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirković and D. Rumynin, *Localization of modules for a semisimple Lie algebra in prime characteristic*, Ann. of Math., **167** (2008), 945–991.
- [CPS] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Infinitesimal Kazhdan-Lusztig Theories*, Contemp. Math. **39** (1992), 43–73.
- [FP] E. Friedlander and B. Parshall, *Modular representation theory of Lie algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), 1055–1093.
- [Jan1] J. Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in prime characteristic*, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **514**, Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 185–235, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [Jan2] J. Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in positive characteristic*, Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups, 175–218, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **40**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
- [Kac1] V. Kac, *Lie Superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **16** (1977), 8–96.
- [Kac2] V. Kac, *Characters of typical representations of classical Lie superalgebras*, Comm. in Alg. **5** (1977), 889–897.
- [Kac3] V. Kac, *Representations of classical Lie superalgebras*, Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics, II (Proc. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1977), 597–626, Lecture Notes in Math. **676**, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [Kat] S. Kato, *On the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for affine Weyl groups*, Adv. in Math. **55** (1985), 103–130.
- [Lus] G. Lusztig, *Some problems on the representation theory of finite Chevalley groups*, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **37** (1980), 313–317.
- [Pr] A. Premet, *Irreducible representations of Lie algebras of reductive groups and the Kac-Weisfeiler conjecture*, Invent. Math. **121** (1995), 79–117.
- [Rud] A. Rudakov, *The representations of classical semisimple Lie algebras in characteristic p* , Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **34** (1970), 735–743.
- [WZ1] W. Wang and L. Zhao, *Representations of Lie superalgebras in prime characteristic I*, arXiv:0808.0046, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2009) (to appear).
- [WZ2] W. Wang and L. Zhao, *Representations of Lie superalgebras in prime characteristic II: the queer series*, preprint, arXiv:0902.2758.
- [Zh] C. Zhang, *On simple modules for the restricted Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$* , preprint, arXiv:0905.1383.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22904
E-mail address: lz4u@virginia.edu